

L41066

1.984

cop. 3

**REPORT OF THE  
SOUTH CAROLINA  
STATE LAW  
ENFORCEMENT  
DIVISION**

**TO THE**

**GENERAL ASSEMBLY**

**S. C. STATE LIBRARY**

**OF**

**FEB 2 2 1985**

**STATE DOCUMENTS**

**SOUTH CAROLINA**

**FOR FISCAL YEAR**

**1984**

**J. P. STROM, Chief**

**REPORT OF THE  
SOUTH CAROLINA  
STATE LAW  
ENFORCEMENT  
DIVISION**

**TO THE  
GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
OF**

**SOUTH CAROLINA  
FOR FISCAL YEAR  
1984**

**J. P. STROM, Chief**



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
Letter of Transmittal .....	3
Organizational Chart .....	4
Origin and Purpose .....	5
Management Staff .....	7
Statement of Agency Expenditures .....	8
Statutory Authorities .....	9
Organizational Functions and Programs .....	11
Uniform Crime Report Statistics and Excerpts .....	31

June 30, 1984


*To the General Assembly of South Carolina:*

In accord with statutory authority requirements, the annual report of the South Carolina State Law Enforcement Division for the fiscal year 1984 is transmitted to your honorable body.

Respectfully submitted,  
J. P. STROM, *Chief*



# SOUTH CAROLINA LAW ENFORCEMENT DIVISION





## ORIGIN AND PURPOSE

In 1947, a decision was made by means of an executive order to replace the Governor's State Constabulary with a more updated statewide law enforcement agency called the South Carolina Law Enforcement Division. The Executive Order was replaced in 1974 by updating legislation enacted by the General Assembly pertaining to the structure of the State Law Enforcement Division. The new statutes created SLED, provided for the appointment of the agency's chief and placed all state-employed security employees, as outlined by law, under SLED supervision.

The decision to replace the Constabulary, which for many years was the main investigative arm of South Carolina governors, was brought about by a number of factors, including the South Carolina Sheriff's Association and the state's chiefs of police — all expressing a need for better, more advanced investigative manpower and technical assistance. Various political subdivisions around the state, according to law enforcement leaders, were unable to maintain financially the sufficient investigative and technical personnel and scientific equipment necessary for forensic science and police chemistry requirements in solving major crimes.

Combined with these statewide needs by smaller law enforcement agencies and the growing investigative requirements of the Governor's Office and the South Carolina Attorney General's Office, the decision was made to create the South Carolina Law Enforcement Division, more commonly known as SLED.

Since its formation in 1947, SLED has been operated to provide a maximum of law enforcement assistance to various police agencies around the state. The division has four fundamental responsibilities:

1. The provision of investigative, technical and manpower assistance to all sheriffs, chiefs of police, solicitors, grand juries, city and county manager and other offices charged with a criminal justice responsibility.
2. The provision of an enforcement and security arm to the Governor and to conduct investigations for and of state agencies at the direction of the governor.
3. The provision of security for the Capitol Complex, South Carolina Aeronautics Commission and the Governor's Mansion.
4. The maintenance of statewide Criminal Justice Communications and Information System for South Carolina: a system developed to provide a statewide computerized communication network and to provide a criminal history.

The overall purpose of SLED is to apprehend or assist in the apprehension of violators of South Carolina criminal statutes and to bring such perpetrators before the state's courts.



However, SLED does not accept requests for its personnel or facilities from private individuals except under extraordinary circumstances which are determined on an individual basis by the chief of SLED or the Governor.

SLED's responsibilities (as can be seen under Statutory Authority) have grown with the division, running the gamut from investigative responsibilities to security requirements. Further, the division has been given the added responsibility for establishing and operating a narcotics and dangerous drug department; and for establishing, housing, and managing a computerized Criminal Justice Information and Communications System (CJICS) for various criminal justice agencies. The division has also been given the authority to enforce implementation of regulatory statutes pertaining to private detectives and security guards, handguns and other firearms and massage parlors.

The division is continuing to grow as part of the Governor's Office, and an annual report to the South Carolina General Assembly is required under Section 138 of the Appropriations Act. This report is the required documentation for that purpose.

The division's financial report of operating capital is found as Item II of the Governor's annual operating budget.

SLED also has been given statutory authority to investigate all cases brought to the attention of the SLED chief involving arson or the unlawful burning of private property, and the division has been authorized to make arrests in connection with these investigations.



## MANAGEMENT STAFF

Chief J. P. Strom ..... *Agency Director*  
Captain J. Leon Gasque ..... *Assistant Agency Director*  
Lieutenant James K. Wilson ..... *Chief Forensic Chemist*  
Lieutenant Earl Wells ..... *Senior Chemist*  
Lieutenant Steve Smith ..... *Supervisor — Narcotics Department*  
Lieutenant F. Dan DeFreese . . . *Chief Examtner — Forensic Science*  
Lieutenant Mickey Dawson ..... *Supervisor — Document Lab*  
Mr. Harry Hopkins ..... *Data Processing Administrator*  
Lieutenant Jerry Hamby . . . *Supervisor — Uniform Crime Reporting*  
Lieutenant Jerry Luthren ..... *Supervisor — Criminal Records*  
Lieutenant Johnny Hartley . . . *Supervisor — Polygraph Examinations*  
Lieutenant Walter Powell ..... *Supervisor — Criminal Intelligence*  
Special Agent Paul Moran . . . *Supervisor — Regulatory Department*  
Agent Rita Y. Shuler ..... *Supervisor — Photography Lab*  
Lieutenant Dan F. Beckman ..... *Officer of the Day*  
Mr. James V. Martin ..... *Director of Administrative Services  
and Data Processing Coordinator*  
Mr. Hugh E. Munn ..... *Executive Assistant and  
Public Information Officer*  
Ms. Anne Mathis ..... *Supervisor — Finance Section*  
Mr. Jimmie LaRussa ..... *Director of Purchasing*  
Mr. Bill Canaday ..... *Director of Personnel*  
Mrs. Marcia Porcel ..... *Administrative Assistant*  
Mrs. Pat Murphy ..... *Administrative Assistant*  
Mrs. Carolyn Lyerly ..... *Administrative Assistant*  
Mrs. Debbie Hamilton ..... *Administrative Assistant*  
Lieutenant Ron Cook ..... *Supervisor — Protective Services*



[illegible]



## STATUTORY AUTHORITIES

The State Law Enforcement Division was established by and operated under the appointive authority of the Governor as provided in the South Carolina Code of Laws, 1942, Sec. 3096; 1945, Sec. 337; Sec. 23-160; and 1962, Sec. 23-1-60.

In 1974, updating legislation was enacted by the General Assembly pertaining to the structure and function of SLED, including:

Sec. 23-1-60 relating to the Governor's appointment of special deputies, constables and detectives was amended for their reappointment and discharge under certain conditions.

Sec. 53-4 relating to gubernatorial authority over state constables was repealed.

Sec. 23-3-10 was enacted creating the State Law Enforcement Division, providing appointive authority of its chief by the Governor with the advice and consent of the Senate, and providing for its personnel, their powers and duties.

Sec. 23-3-20 was enacted establishing bonding requirements for the chief and agents and providing reappointment for agents to insure continuation of employment except for discharge with cause.

Sec. 23-3-30 placed all state-employed security employees, except those employed by the South Carolina Department of Corrections, under the direct supervision of the State Law Enforcement Division.

Additionally, legislation was enacted in 1974 providing SLED with the authority to devise and operate a Criminal Information and Communications System to regulate and control licensing of detectives and private security agencies. Enabling legislation in these matters include:

Sec. 23-3-110 establishing a statewide Criminal Justice Information and Communications System as a department within the State Law Enforcement Division.

Sec. 23-3-120 requiring that all law enforcement agencies and court officials shall report criminal data within their respective jurisdictions to the system and authorizes the division to determine the specific information to be supplied under Sec. 23-3-110 and the methods by which it shall be compiled, evaluated and disseminated. The section further authorizes the division to promulgate rules and regulations to carry out the provisions of this chapter.

Sec. 23-3-140 qualifying the compliance of disclosure of information compiled by the CJICS System.

Sec. 23-3-150 authorizing the division to accept, grant and appropriate funds on behalf of the state for use in the operation of the CJICS System.



Sec. 23-3-40 directing all sheriffs and police departments to furnish SLED with a record of all fingerprints taken in criminal investigations resulting in convictions. The section charges SLED with the responsibility for the cost and implementation of this reporting program and for the preparation of the regulations and instructions for its functioning.

Sec. 56-646 (referred to as the South Carolina Private Detective and Private Security Agencies Act) empowering the chief of SLED to determine applicant qualifications for licensing and registration, to investigate alleged violations of the provisions of the act, to promulgate rules and regulations as needed and to establish and to enforce standards governing the safety and conduct of persons licensed and registered. The section also authorizes a fee assessment for license privileges and responsibilities and provides for exemptions under the act and the duties of the division.

Sec. 56-6-2960 (Implied Consent Law) directing SLED to establish blood/alcohol testing standards and to train and certify persons conducting breath tests.

In 1972, Sec. 44-53-480 of the Code was enacted, charging SLED with the responsibility for enforcement of laws pertaining to illicit traffic in controlled and counterfeit substances and requiring the establishment of a Narcotics and Dangerous Drug Department within the division.

Additionally, several statutes enacted prior to the Fiscal Year 1976 period became operational during the 1976 Fiscal Year, including the following:

Sec. 16-23-10, 23-31-110 — as amended requiring the division to investigate each applicant for a license to sell handguns in South Carolina to insure he is qualified as prescribed by law. Furthermore, the division is charged with the responsibility of insuring that the dealers comply with the law with respect to record-keeping and handgun sales.

Sec. 16-22-210, 23-31-310 — (Known as Special Weapons Act) requiring that any person who possesses a sawed-off rifle or shotgun, or any automatic rifle shall register the weapon with SLED, and that the division shall issue a registration permit for this weapon.

Sec. 16-23-10, 23-31-110 — requiring the division to receive a copy of each handgun transaction conducted by licensed handgun dealers of the state. Each of these individual forms is to be processed by SLED to insure that the purchaser has not purchased more than one handgun in a 30-day period and that he has not been convicted of a crime of violence as stated in the act.

Further, this section as revised in June 1975, also stipulates that SLED is to issue gun permits to citizens of South Carolina when it is determined by



SLED that, due to business necessities, the citizens' lives are regularly placed in danger. The Division does a complete background investigation of each applicant to insure the applicant's integrity, need for such a permit, and proficiency in the use of handguns.

Sec. 17-7-80 — requires that all coroners submit to SLED for the performance results of blood, alcohol and drug analysis on body fluids removed from fatalities involving traffic, boating and swimming accidents.

Prior to Fiscal Year 1976 period, another statute was enacted which directly authorized SLED to begin regulation and enforcement proceedings. In June 1975, the legislature enacted a law pertaining to operations of massage parlors around the state, including a requirement that each massage parlor be licensed and the applicant be investigated by SLED. Further, the act stipulates that each employee must be registered with the Division and that the Division is to make routine inspection of the establishment.

Sec. 23-35-170 — requiring the Division to receive a copy of all quarterly reports of such sales and dynamite or powerful explosives from the auditor of each county. Such person selling or keeping for sale the explosives mentioned in this section shall make a quarterly report to the county auditor of each county. The auditor of each county shall forward a copy of all reports to the South Carolina Law Enforcement Division.

## ORGANIZATIONAL FUNCTIONS AND PROGRAMS

SLED departments have been devised to function from a management viewpoint into two main areas: general operations and support services. Within these areas, SLED personnel, including criminal investigators and administrative and clerical staff members, operate the business of the Division with maximum efficiency and a minimum of disruptions.

*Case Files.* Case Files maintains investigative files. This Department is responsible for a case from its conception until its closing, to include all updates, reports, tapes, statements and all other documents. This Department keeps all Agents informed of their case load when reports are due. All personnel have either completed the Criminal Justice Academy or are scheduled to attend. This Department also works crowd control, special assignments, sequestered juries, work with female prisoners and extraditions. This Department opened 1,782 cases from 7/1/83 - 6/31/84, and updated 6,726 cases from 7/1/83 - 6/31/84.

*Special Weapons and Tactics (SWAT)* . . . Throughout the country, SWAT teams have been developed by law enforcement agencies to cope



effectively with incidents of sniper fire, barricaded suspects and the pursuit and apprehension of extremely dangerous armed criminals. In order to provide the needed assistance to local enforcement agencies for these situations, SLED organized and developed its SWAT team comprised of a select group of agents chosen for their military background and their expertise in weaponry and tactics.

*Public Information Office . . .* During Fiscal Year 1975, a Public Information Office was added to the general operation at SLED. The office primarily serves as a liaison between the general public, news media and the agency and serves as an educational assistance for the agency by providing informational programs to civic, church and other organizations.

Since its beginning in October 1974, SLED's information officer has logged more than 300,000 miles and has presented numerous educational programs around the state. Activities by the information officer include SLED display units, public addresses, panel discussions participation and public school classroom discussion.

The information officer, who must possess a background in news and public relations, is a graduate of the South Carolina Criminal Justice Academy.

In addition to the educational duties, SLED's public information officer also has logged thousands of miles in appearing at breaking crime scenes to serve as an information-news aid to news reporters and to lessen the confusion often associated with such incidents. The information officer has appeared at more than 2,000 incidents.

The volume of cases and workload handled by the Public Information Office during the 1983-84 Fiscal Year include: 8,000 telephone inquiries, 1,000 public and personal inquiries, 3,000 personal contact (average number).

*Arson Investigation . . .* This squad consists of specially trained agents who are assigned to assist local law enforcement agencies, fire departments, the S. C. State Fire Marshal, the S. C. Commission of Forestry and the insurance industry in the investigation of arson and unlawful burnings. This unit investigated 231 cases this year.

*Bomb Threat . . .* The Division has a bomb investigation squad as a basic part of a statewide program to deal with the bomb threats to our schools, public buildings and industrial complexes.

Personnel in this squad are trained in the expertise of handling explosives and incendiary devices and are equipped with special mobile equipment and tools to assure the division's readiness to meet and deal with any bomb threat situation.



SLED's initial plan also called for an intensive training and educational program for local enforcement, firefighting personnel and responsible public and industrial officials. SLED personnel conducted numerous seminars and training sessions for police agencies and fire departments throughout the state and held planning information seminars with public and business management officials to aid them in developing security plans and training classes of their own. This program has been highly successful and effective in reducing substantially the number of industrial work stoppages which were being experienced by textile and other key industries in the state.

Special on-going plans also were developed for all state buildings as part of a continuing responsibility for the squad as it responds to and investigates bomb threats.

*Criminal Intelligence . . .* In order to deal with the increasing number of sophisticated criminal operations, the Division established a Criminal Intelligence Section in 1973, which has the responsibility of interacting and responding to inquiries from other law enforcement agencies in the state and nation concerning the activities of "career criminals," organized crime figures, white collar crimes and traveling criminals.

During Fiscal Year 1983-84, the intelligence team, consisting of one special agent in charge, three special agents, an assistant agent and a staff assistant, handled approximately 16,000 pieces of information in connection with 932 various investigations.

SLED is a charter member of the Regional Organized Crime Information Center which exchanges current information on the activities of approximately 2,600 professional career criminals in the Southeastern part of the United States. It functions as a communications and information center, provides photo and lab and staff analyst services and acts to coordinate interstate surveillance of suspected traveling criminals.

*Sex Crimes Investigative Assistance . . .* During Fiscal Year 1983-84, SLED has provided education, research and investigative assistance pertaining to sex crimes and sex offenders.

The assisting agents have spoken to numerous groups, including social, fraternal, religious, civic and professional groups and organizations; and have participated on numerous panels, workshops, seminars and training sessions dealing with educating professionals and the public. These agents have also participated in continuing education and in-service training for local law enforcement agencies, local medical services and social services personnel. They have served in consultation with victims and their families in conjunction with social workers, ministers and the medical profession, and have developed programs in crime prevention geared to the needs of the general public. They have traveled extensively through-



out the state consulting with and assisting local law enforcement agencies with investigations of sex crimes, especially in the area of rape lectures.

*Bloodhounds* . . . SLED maintains a pack of mantrailing bloodhounds. At the present time, there are 12 to 16 bloodhounds. These hounds are used to assist in apprehending escapees and fugitive criminals of all types and for searching for lost persons.

The bloodhounds and their handlers, consisting of three agents and two inmates, are on call 24 hours a day, 365 days a year. During the Fiscal Year 1983-84, they responded to 360 calls resulting in 221 apprehensions. Prompt apprehensions such as those made possible by the bloodhounds serve to prevent further fugitive criminal acts such as robbery, assault, and auto theft. Often, the bloodhounds also find additional evidence on the trails such as weapons and footprints which become essential items in preparation of criminal cases for trial. Agents and dogs traveled more than 31,761 miles during the year and ran more than 508 miles.

*Protective Services* . . . In keeping with modern law enforcement management concepts, the security and executive protection sections were consolidated in December 1980.

This section now consists of one special agent in charge, a sufficient number of special agents as determined by the Governor and Chief of SLED, one (1) uniformed lieutenant, six (6) uniformed public safety sergeants, thirty-four 34 uniformed public safety officers and one (1) assistant agent.

This section is charged with the overall responsibility for the direct coordination of all state employed security personnel (except those employed by the S. C. Department of Corrections) with security procedures and guidelines established by the Chief of SLED as required by Section 23-3-30. The procedures incorporate an inspection system and regular reports from the chief security officers to the Chief of SLED.

Protection of the State House, Blatt Building, Capitol Complex building and grounds, state parking facilities, Governor's Mansion, Supreme Court, Employment Security Commission, S. C. Aeronautics Commission and other state buildings and facilities in the Metropolitan Columbia area is handled directly by the Capitol Complex Police. These officers are charged with the responsibility of providing 24-hour security, law enforcement services and follow-up investigations.

Personal protection for the Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, and their families is provided by the special agents and the special agent in charge. This protection also includes internal security for the Governor's, Lieutenant Governor's, and Attorney General's Offices and coordination and liaison with the two legislative sergeants-at-arms.



The Protective Services department additionally is responsible for developing and implementing evacuation procedures with other state agencies for fire and/or natural disasters within the Capitol Complex.

Bomb threat reaction plans and physical security surveys in coordination with General Services are also conducted and include recommendations to state agency directors for improving security in their respective buildings and areas.

## SUPPORT SERVICES

Modern police agencies are relying on scientific technology as an integral part of today's crime investigative methods. Such technology has given police many additional scientific tools with which to conduct a more thorough investigative inquiry, and more police agencies around the state and nation have found that often physical evidence and its scientific analysis and interpretation are necessary and essential as methods of proof. Certain laws can be enforced only through findings from scientific analysis and many evidence problems are solvable only by scientific inquiry. Equally important is the fact that evaluation and identification of physical evidence in the early stages of an investigative approach or methods should be used.

This method of scientific police science inquiry often is referred to as criminalistics, and SLED is playing a key role in the use of criminalistics for local police agencies around the state as the modern method for criminal investigations.

SLED's criminalistics laboratories, located within SLED headquarters in Columbia, are some of the most up-to-date facilities in the entire country. The laboratories are complete, full-service facilities with the capability of performing the entire scope of police scientific inquiry. Operating as the only criminalistics laboratories in the state, SLED makes its facilities available to every enforcement agency in the state.

The SLED criminalistics laboratories are maintained and operated under one main centralized concept in keeping with the basic purpose of the Division: consolidation of resources as the most sensible way of providing facilities involving a significant capital outlay, such as is required for the sophisticated instrumentation and equipment on criminalistics laboratories.

Under the concept devised by SLED for the use of the criminalistics laboratories, the taxpayer in South Carolina is assured of maximum utilization of the equipment in criminalistics work. The concept also has a second appealing quality which SLED views as integral to its development: to allow management to be able to attract and employ the best technical skill for the staffing of the laboratories.



In general categories, the criminalistics laboratories provide user services in the following areas: case strategy consultation; laboratory case-work; expert witness services; field investigations; police personnel training.

Under SLED guidelines, outlined in management practices around the state, general criminalistics practitioners basically are applied research workers possessing a scholarly ability in addition to a practical ability for solving finite problems. It is the policy of SLED, and a necessity of the profession, that laboratory staff members must pursue a career development training program and education program, including job cross-training to assure professional proficiency.

## **FIREARMS LABORATORY**

The Firearms Laboratory provides for nonchemical analysis of physical evidence. These examinations are conducted both grossly, photographically and microscopically. Generally speaking, evidence submitted to the Firearms Laboratory is examined for unique striations, impressions and fractures from which a positive conclusion can be reached.

The Firearms Laboratory furnishes highly-trained technical personnel for the following purposes:

- A. In the Laboratory — to process, develop, examine, compare and photograph all items of evidence submitted.
- B. In the Field — to process completely any major crime scene. This includes the photography, search, collection, preservation and examination of all objects of evidential value.
- C. In the Courts — to appear as expert witnesses for the prosecution or defense during criminal proceedings in local, state or federal court.

In the laboratory, the following kinds of examinations are conducted:

- A. Firearms Identification — The laboratory uses both optical comparison microscopes and a scanning electron microscope to make comparisons of evidence bullets, cartridge cases, shotshells, unfired ammunition and components.
  - 1. Bullets: Microscopic comparisons of the marks on bullets produced by the rifling on the barrel of a weapon.
    - a. Recovered evidence bullet (NO GUN): determine the manufacturer, caliber, type and make of weapon from which a bullet was fired.
    - b. Bullet versus Weapon: determine whether the bullet was fired by a particular suspect weapon.
    - c. Shot pellets, buckshots, slugs and wadding: The size of shot and gauge of slug and wadding can be determined.



2. **Fired Cartridge Case:** Markings present on fired metallic and shotshell cases can be microscopically compared and examined.
    - a. **Fired cartridge cases found at crime scene (NO GUN):** determine the specific manufacturer, caliber, or gauge, type and mark of weapon in which the cartridge was fired.
    - b. **Fired Cartridge Case versus Weapon:** determine whether a cartridge case was loaded into and/or fired in a particular suspect weapon.
  3. **Unfired Ammunition:** The specific caliber or gauge, manufacturer and type of weapon can be determined. It is also possible to determine that unfired ammunition was loaded into a particular weapon in some cases.
  4. **Other Firearm examinations include:**
    - a. **Gunshot residue comparison (muzzle to garment/skin distance).**  
NOTE: These examinations are conducted jointly with the Chemistry Laboratory.
    - b. **Shot pattern determination.**
    - c. **Weapon safety and function testing.**
    - d. **Trigger pull testing.**
    - e. **Identification of gun parts.**
    - f. **Projectile trajectory determinations.**
    - g. **Melting point determination.**  
NOTE: The SLED weapons library now includes more than 400 pieces. Known specimen bullet and cartridges, kept for reference, number in the thousands. When all known specimens are properly measured and indexed, SLED will have one of the best standard reference files of this type in the nation.
- B. Fingerprint Identification —** Both in the laboratory and on the field assignment, Firearms Laboratory personnel are able to process all suitable items of evidence for latent fingerprint, palmprint and footprint evidence. The latest equipment and techniques for latent print detection and preservation are used by the lab personnel.
- It should be pointed out that all Firearms Lab examiners are individually assigned state-owned vehicles. In these vehicles are kept all the equipment necessary for processing any major crime scene. By so doing, any or all of SLED's Firearms Lab personnel are available for instant response to a serious crime scene at any hour of any day or night.
- C. Tool Mark Identification —** Tool mark identification is a microscopic study of the consistency and uniqueness of marks left by most impact, prying, scraping, gripping, pinching or shearing tools. Because of high frequency of forceable entry crimes, tool mark



identification is an extremely important aid in the prosecution of criminal cases in which burglary tools are recovered. Examination of tool marks can determine:

1. The type of tool used.
  2. The size of tool used.
  3. The action employed by the tool when used.
  4. The individual identifying characteristics of a particular tool.
- D. Questioned Document Section — This section is responsible for the examination and identification of evidence in several areas including handwriting, typewriting, printing, rubber stamps and other mechanical impressions, as well as the examination of papers and inks.

The document examiner has, for the past year, lectured numerous times at the Criminal Justice Academy and to law enforcement officers throughout the state. These lectures introduced officers throughout the state to the techniques used in document identification as well as the proper methods for collecting samples and evidence.

- E. Photography Laboratory — Because of the heavy emphasis placed on photographic documentation in all phases of physical evidence identification, SLED's Photography Laboratory is organized under and controlled by the Firearms Laboratory.

The Photography Laboratory operates both monochrome and color processing and printing facilities and is able to offer complete photographic documentation. This laboratory is responsible for evaluating, budgeting and requesting equipment and supplies pertaining to the operation of the laboratory as well as procuring, operating and supplying 74 field photographic units issued to agents throughout the state.

The Photography Lab also provides allied photographic services throughout the state to political subdivisions in the form of suggested planning, equipping and training of personnel in the area of photographic services on the local level. Other support functions are the furnishing of laboratory personnel and equipment for night device operations and photographic documentations.

The photographic capabilities of the laboratory, as well as allied services, are available to meet statewide needs 24 hours a day, seven days a week. Many times the Photography Lab is called upon to augment fast-breaking investigations on an around-the-clock basis.

The increased demand for photographic services can be attributed greatly to training of many officers in all areas of the state in the skills of photography. It should also be noted that the judicial system's active interest in pictorial presentations of evidence and events has presented a greater demand on photographic capabilities.



- F. Other Identifications, Examinations and Comparisons — While the major case work handled by the Firearms Laboratory has been set forth, the laboratory's work is not limited to those areas alone. Following are other types of examinations performed by the Firearms Laboratory:
1. Identification and comparison of plaster and rubber moulages of footwear or tire impressions.
  2. Fracture identification — particularly in the case of broken glass or broken tools.
  3. Restoration of altered or obliterated serial numbers on firearms, automotive parts and other items of evidence.
  4. Examination of torn or damaged material such as tape, fabric, cordage, wood and building materials.
  5. Record fingerprinting in major cases. Record fingerprinting of deceased persons.
  6. Proper use of stain, dye and fluorescent thief detection powder especially in fraud and pilferage cases.
  7. Explosive Ordnance reconnaissance, removal and post-explosion investigation.
- G. Other Duties — Because of the Firearms Laboratory's extensive background in firearms, tools, microscopy and photography, the laboratory is engaged in a number of other allied activities. Following are some examples:
1. Testing of new products offered for sale to law enforcement agencies.
  2. Conducting schools and in-service training in the criminalistic field for all new enforcement officers.
  3. Loading and reloading of test and specialized ammunition.
  4. Supervision and maintenance of SLED's armory. This includes selection of equipment and maintenance of acceptable stock levels.
  5. Expansion and maintenance of Firearms Library artifacts and publications.
  6. Repair and alteration of service weapons used by SLED agents.
  7. Construction of exhibits, displays, specialized devices and equipment used both in the Firearms Laboratory and the division.
  8. Attend schools, conferences and seminars in all fields of forensic science.
  9. Conduct research in any area within the purview of the laboratory. A special research effort is being conducted in the effective law enforcement uses of the Scanning Electron Microscope.



Productivity — During the Fiscal Year 1982-83, the combined Firearms and Photography Laboratories reported their gross productivity in all areas to equal last fiscal year.

## CHEMISTRY DEPARTMENT

This Department is supervised by a chief chemist who is responsible for the administration and management of the Chemistry Laboratory, the statewide Implied Consent Program and the Narcotics and Dangerous Drug Section.

Chemistry Laboratory . . . Supervised by the assistant chief chemist and staffed by 12 graduate chemists, each of whom is responsible for the case work received from a pre-assigned judicial circuit; three secretaries and one administrative assistant.

The laboratory has at its disposal the latest analytical instruments, such as gas chromatograph, mass and nuclear magnetic resonance spectrometers, ultraviolet, infrared, atomic absorption and fluorescence spectrophotometers, thermal analysis emission spectrograph, X-ray fluorescence, CO-Oximeter and scanning electron microscope.

Some of the methods and procedures used for physical and chemical analysis in the examination of evidence by the laboratory area:

1. Examination and identification of fabric and/or fibers using thermal analysis, infrared and ultraviolet spectrophotometry, gas chromatography, microscopy and X-ray defraction.
2. Examination and identification of soil, metals and insulating materials from safes using atomic absorption, infrared spectrophotometry, emission spectrographic analysis, density gradient analysis and X-ray defraction.
3. Examination and identification of paints to determine source and type using Frustrated Multiple Internal Reflectance Infrared Spectrophotometry, Gas Chromatography, Emission spectrographic Analysis, Thermal Analysis and X-ray defraction.
4. Comparison and identification of hairs, human or animal, using optical microscopy.
5. Analysis and identification of flammable substances, using gas chromatography and infrared spectrophotometry.
6. Analysis and identification of explosive substances and explosive residues using thermal and chemical analysis.
7. Test of powder burns and residues using diphenylmine (paraffin) tests on persons suspected of firing a gun and using Walker tests and atomic absorption spectrophotometry to identify powder burns and determine distance of gun from victim.
8. Test and analyze alcoholic liquids to determine alcohol percentage, fusel oils, lead, aldehydes and other poisons and presence of



yeast cells in beer using a chromatography, atomic absorption and chemical testing.

9. Examination and identification of seminal fluids in rape cases using microscopic and chemical analysis.
10. Analysis and identification of blood and other body fluids by chemical methods including benzidine, hemin crystal, precipitin tests and electrophoresis.
11. Detection and carbon monoxide in blood of arson and suicide victims using a CO-Oximeter.
12. Analysis and identification of poison or drugs in solid dosage form and from body fluids and tissues using infrared, ultraviolet and fluorescent spectrophotometry, gas chromatography, mass spectrometry and chemical analysis.
13. Test and identify presence of alcohol in persons through blood, urine and breath-testing methods.

The Chemistry Laboratory received 7,486 cases during Fiscal Year 1983-84 and performed 33,687 analyses during this period.

Implied Consent Program — Under the Implied Consent Law, Section 56-5-2950, South Carolina Code of Laws, SLED is charged with the administrative responsibility for the training and certifying and recertifying of anyone who is to perform tests to determine the alcohol content in the blood of persons arrested for motor vehicle violations alleged to have been committed under the influence of alcohol.

The Chemistry Department employs staff technicians to provide for, supply and support on an around-the-clock basis the 160 breath testing instruments located throughout the state.

A total of 374 breath-testing operators were certified and 846 were recertified by the staff during the period bringing the total number of operators to 2,096.

The effectiveness of the program can be seen in the statistical comparisons of approximately 22,207 tests administered (of these 12,010 or over one-half the persons tested have a blood alcohol level between 15% and 24%), and 2,785 refusals.

## NARCOTICS SECTION

The Narcotics Section was formed in 1971 with the advent of legislation charging SLED with enforcement of laws pertaining to the illicit traffic in narcotics and dangerous drugs (Section 44-53-480, South Carolina Code of Laws). The section is given the responsibility for providing investigative assistance to local enforcement agencies and for initiating overt and covert investigations into major narcotics and dangerous drug traffickers operating interstate and intrastate.



The Narcotics Section maintains a close liaison with other state and federal agencies in coordinating investigations against illicit drug traffic and provides intelligence information to these agencies regarding such traffic activity.

There are 20 agents and one supervisor assigned to the section — all working under the direction of the Chemistry Department.

During the Fiscal Year 1983-84, the Narcotics Section received and processed 112 requests for investigations from federal, state and local agencies. These requests for investigations generated 312 investigations by the section.

They seized:

One automobile (estimated Value) .....	\$4,600.00
Total Value of Drug Purchased or Seized (Estimate)	\$50,110,354.00

STATE TOTALS

<i>Sale/Manufacturing</i>	<i>July-December 1983</i>	<i>January-June 1984</i>
Opium .....	272	385
Marijuana .....	1,013	949
Synthetic Narcotics .	3	7
Other Drugs .....	102	101
<i>Possession</i>		
Opium .....	102	142
Marijuana .....	2,989	2,997
Synthetic Narcotics .	6	3
Other Drugs .....	215	219

POLYGRAPH DIVISION

The polygraph services of SLED are used in every type of law enforcement investigation throughout the state. Many investigations are cleared each week as a result of these polygraph examinations, and it should be noted that the polygraph is used not only to determine if an individual is lying, but also to establish if he is being truthful.

The Polygraph Division has saved thousands of dollars in investigative manhours each year as a result of being able to clear many investigations promptly by polygraph examinations.

FOR FISCAL YEAR 1983-84  
THIS DEPARTMENT ADMINISTERED

Number of Examination .....	1,531
Number With No Deception Indicated .....	677
Number With Deception Indicated .....	523



Number of Confessions Obtained .....	184
Number of Indefinites .....	105
Number of Cases Refused .....	266

#### THE CASES EXAMINED INCLUDE

Abortion .....	01
Administrative .....	16
Arson .....	65
Assault & Battery .....	43
Bribery .....	03
Burglary .....	11
Conspiracy .....	02
Death Investigation .....	95
Destruction of Property .....	22
Drug Violation .....	24
Escapee .....	11
Forgery .....	11
Fraud .....	05
Housebreaking .....	152
Kidnapping .....	01
Larceny .....	485
Official Misconduct .....	04
Robbery .....	58
Receiving Stolen Goods .....	03
Safecracking .....	12
Sex Crimes .....	143
Traffic .....	07
Weapon .....	03
Other .....	135
Refused .....	226



## REGULATORY SERVICES

The Regulatory Services Section of the State Law Enforcement Division was created in December of 1972 due to legislation of the General Assembly dealing with detective and security companies in the State of South Carolina.

At the time this section was established, it was composed of one Lieutenant Supervisor and one secretary. Over the past ten years, the staff has increased to one department head, two full time investigators, twelve assisting investigators, one administrative assistant and four clerks.

As it is clear that the staff has grown, so have the statutory responsibilities granted to this Division and assigned to this section. It is hoped that the following verbal and graphic explanations will provide you with some insight into the function and growth of this section.

### COMPANY LICENSES ISSUED

	<i>New</i>	<i>Renewal</i>
Premise Security .....	24	145
Private Security .....	37	40
Private Detective .....	22	66
Combination .....	11	44
Licenses denied .....	2	

### DETECTIVE & SECURITY COMPANY EMPLOYEE REGISTRATIONS

Security guard registrations .....	3,515	3,835
Private detective registrations .....	123	138
Security guard concealed weapon permits .....	178	196
Security guard/private detective transfers (1,104)		
Security officers certified during 83-84 ..	(102)	

### GUN DEALERS

New applications received .....	78
New licenses issued .....	67
New licenses pending .....	9
New applications withdrawn .....	2
Licenses renewed .....	146
Total Active Dealers .....	417

### <sup>a</sup> CONCEALED WEAPON PERMITS

New applications received .....	347
New permits issued .....	301
Applications pending .....	24
Applications denied .....	22



Renewal applications received . . . . .	363
Permits renewed . . . . .	363
Permits denied for renewal . . . . .	0
Applications pending renewal . . . . .	0

#### SALE OF HANDGUNS

Applications processed during 83-84 . . . . . 38,849

*Communications and Data Processing Department . . .* The computer and communications network involves three computers located at SLED Headquarters and an electronic interface to the computers located at the South Carolina Highway Department in Columbia, South Carolina, the National Crime Information Center (NCIC) in Washington, D. C., and the National Law Enforcement Telecommunications System, Inc., Phoenix, Arizona. Additional enhancements to the law enforcement communications network within the State were accomplished during the prior reporting period. An electronic interface with the Richland County Sheriff's Office, Columbia, South Carolina and the Police Service Bureau, Greenville, South Carolina were implemented. The system monitors and maintains in excess of 213 terminals located in local and state criminal justice offices throughout the state. There is at least one terminal in every county.

Under the CJICS system at SLED Headquarters, the Data Processing and Communications Section had the following programs and files in operation or were being developed or implemented during the fiscal year:

#### Comprehensive Criminal Justice Information System

- Vehicle Registrations
- Computerized Criminal Histories
- Driver's License Files
- Warrant Tracking
- Stolen Articles
- Missing Persons
- Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR)
- Stolen Boats
- Stolen Securities

#### Comprehensive Communications Capabilities

- State Stolen Files
- NCIC (FBI Department of Justice)
- NLETS (Interstate Law Enforcement Communications)
- Message Switching (between law enforcement agencies)



#### Financial Applications (SLED only)

- Case Files

- Accounts Payable

- Accounts Receivable

- General Ledger

- Vehicle Accounting

- Inventory Control

- Investigative Case Tracking

#### Management Applications

- Evidence Analysis Tracking

- Gun Registration Management

- Security Officer Management

- Insurance Reserve Fund

#### Provides Data Processing Services

- Department of Parole and Community Corrections

- Department of Youth Services

- South Carolina Court Administration

- Richland County Sheriff's Office

- Police Service Bureau

The South Carolina Office of Court Administration continues its development and refinement of their court scheduling and monitoring applications during the fiscal year. The Department of Parole and Community Corrections continued its refinement of its system to facilitate the availability of timely and accurate parole information.

In Fiscal Year 1983-84 South Carolina was one of seventeen (17) states to fully participate in the implementation of the Interstate Identification Index under NCIC. This indexing system is a method to point inquiries into Criminal History to the state or states which house the record(s) for the individual in question.

Fiscal Year 1984-85 will be another year of continued growth and expansion of internal and external systems and users. Plans for the year include the continued development of Standards and Procedures, a significant upgrade in computer hardware and software, and an intensive field training effort to enhance the utilization of the network and files.

### CRIMINAL JUSTICE INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM

The South Carolina General Assembly enacted in 1974 legislation providing SLED with the authority to devise and operate a Criminal Justice Information and Communications System. This program is designed to function as a department within the State Law Enforcement Division.



South Carolina law (Section 23-3-120) requires all law enforcement agencies and court officials to report criminal data within their respective jurisdictions to the CJICS system. SLED further is authorized to determine the specific information to be supplied and the methods by which that data is to be compiled, evaluated and disseminated within the framework of existing state and federal laws pertaining to compilation, evaluation and dissemination.

The SLED CJICS program primarily is organized to accumulate various types of data dealing with the volume, types and frequency of crimes in South Carolina. The system further is commissioned to develop and provide a statewide computerized communication network and to provide a criminal history to function for the various police and sheriff's departments in the state and is housed at SLED headquarters in Columbia.

The Division is currently working with the Division of General Services and other criminal justice agencies in order to expand SLED's role in the Data Processing area in servicing all criminal justice agencies under a centralized data center concept.

*Uniform Crime Reports Department . . .* The third unit within the CJICS program is the statewide Uniform Crime Reports Department (UCR). This Department is responsible for the statewide Uniform Crime Data Reporting System and for publishing annual reports concerning crime and statistics in South Carolina. The information collected is classified according to the guidelines of the International Association of Chiefs of Police (IACP) and the National Sheriff's Association.

South Carolina was one of the first states to implement a statewide UCR program. The program was initiated in July 1973. The fundamental objectives of the South Carolina UCR program are:

1. Inform the Governor, legislature, other governmental officials and the public as to the nature of the crime problem in the state, its magnitude and trends.
2. Provide law enforcement administrators with criminal data for administration and operational use.
3. Determine who commits crimes by age, sex and race in order to assist in finding the proper focus for crime prevention and enforcement.
4. Provide base data to measure the work load and effectiveness of South Carolina's criminal justice system.
5. Provide base data to measure the effects of prevention and deterrence programs.



The extent to which local law enforcement offices throughout the state participate in reporting is the key to the success of the UCR program. As a first step in this direction, SLED, in conjunction with the FBI, held workshops with the local law enforcement offices throughout the state on the program objectives and the reporting procedures. After July 1973, SLED took over the responsibility for training and coordinating all facets of the State UCR program. The success of the program is reflected in the fact that there was near 100 percent population coverage as of the end of Fiscal Year 1976-77.

There are 314 local law enforcement agencies covered by the reporting program, including data reported by the 46 Highway Patrol County offices in the state.

The report contains statistical data on seven major crime categories, known as PART 1 crimes (murder, rape, robbery, assault, breaking and entering, larceny and motor vehicle theft). Statistics in the annual report are tabulated by counties, metropolitan areas and statewide and include crime rates per 10,000 population; total crimes committed by type, such as murder, rape, robbery, etc.; and other significant crime and law enforcement activity factors which can provide sheriffs, police chiefs and other responsible officials with valuable management and planning tools. This information is made available on request to all participating agencies in the form of monthly reports covering their areas of jurisdiction. The formal report prepared by the UCR Section and titled "Crime in South Carolina" is published annually by SLED and is available to any citizen or organization. Excerpts from the 1983 report are presented at the conclusion of this publication to provide an example of the type of information furnished.

SLED, in 1977, implemented a revolutionary incident-based collection system for UCR data that has been attempted in only a handful of states. The new system involves collecting crime information directly from standard incident and arrest reports as they are prepared by the local law enforcement agencies. The new procedure relieves local agencies of the burden of preparing the monthly UCR tallies, while providing detailed information never before available under the old system, such as victim/offender information, premise types, time of day and location of crimes in specific areas within a given jurisdiction. The incident reporting system also provides more report uniformity since all crimes are classified at SLED. The SLED UCR Section under the incident-based system, now processes approximately 50,164 transactions each month. This new program is recognized as one of the best systems in the United States.

*Criminal Records Department . . .* This Department has the responsibility for maintaining the criminal history records and fingerprint card files on all criminals known to have participated in crimes in the state.



At the present time, there are twenty-eight (28) employees assigned to the Criminal Records Department; one (1) criminal records supervisor and twenty-seven (27) fingerprint examiners and technicians.

Annual activity volume for the Criminal Records Department includes receipt of 100,383 fingerprint cards, receipt of 15,334 correspondence items, 12,735 telephone requests, handled and processed 205,333 name searches and processed and posted 92,074 dispositions. The Department identified sixty-one percent (61%) of the total of all fingerprint cards received from the various agencies; 39,106 criminal fingerprint cards were received and coded on individuals who had no prior arrest record in the criminal files of the Criminal Records Department during this period.

All criminal justice agencies in the state are submitting fingerprint cards to the Criminal Records Department. The agencies have been instructed to submit two fingerprint cards to the department on all misdemeanors and felony arrests with the exception of traffic arrests, in order for the Department to build and maintain an updated centralized criminal history record information file. It has also been requested that all agencies submit final disposition reports in order that all dispositions are current and dissemination procedures can be handled properly.

The Department processes all criminal fingerprint cards using the Henry Classification System and the National Crime Information Center (NCIC) classification. The current incoming fingerprint cards are searched through the computerized name files. In the event of "hits" or "matches", the incoming fingerprint cards are checked against fingerprint cards in the master fingerprint card file. The master fingerprint card file is a manual file in which manual characteristic comparisons and searches can be made.

When identifications are made, the South Carolina Computerized Criminal History Files are updated and copies are sent to the FBI Identification Division and also the NCIC III Files are updated daily. Under the two-fingerprint card submission concept, one remains in the Criminal Records Department at SLED and the other copy is sent to the FBI Identification Division for processing. A rap sheet is produced by the FBI and sent to the submitting criminal justice agency.

The Department also is responsible for the dissemination of all criminal history record information and the handling of all dismissals and expungements.

*Computerized Criminal History Unit . . .* This Unit has the responsibility of serving the law enforcement agencies, courts, and the public throughout the State of South Carolina with up to date criminal history record information.

At the present time, the Unit is under the supervision of the Criminal Records Supervisor. There are twenty-four (24) employees assigned to the



CCH Units; one (1) Fingerprint Examiner II, one (1) Administrative Assistant I, four (4) Administrative Support Specialist B's, seven (7) Administrative Support Specialist A's, five (5) Clerical Support Specialist B's and six (6) Data Control Clerks. The Fingerprint Examiner II serves as the liaison between the Criminal Records Department and the CCH Unit.

There are presently 374,079 records on the identification segment of the Computerized Criminal History File. Of this figure, 276,943 records are completely automated and 97,136 are non-automated and have to be fully automated. The CCH Unit has been in operation seven years and has done a vast amount of work in this period. During this fiscal year, additional terminals have been added to the Unit in an effort to increase the time it takes to enter the data.

All law enforcement agencies in the state have the capability of requesting a criminal record check over a terminal out in the field and receiving an automated criminal history record check back immediately on their terminal. The CCH Unit has created a fully operational state computerized criminal history file as well as entering and updating the national index on the Interstate Identification Index (III).

CCH INFORMATION AT THE PRESENT TIME

Idents on File .....	374,079
Ident Add-Ons .....	236,933
Total Arrest Counts .....	721,150
Judicial Counts .....	512,289
Supplemental Counts .....	68
Custody-Status .....	138,884
Total Records on CCH File .....	1,983,403



# 1983 INDEX TOTALS BY COUNTY AND AGENCY

	Year	Months of Participation	Murder	Rape	Robbery	Aggravated Assault	Breaking Or Entering	Larceny	Motor Vehicle Theft	Arson
Abbeville County . . . .	1983		3	4	3	95	179	284	14	8
	1982	2	4	10	131	197	265	24	8	
Abbeville SO . . . . .	1983	12	1	2	2	38	104	121	8	5
	1982	12	1	3	2	47	129	135	10	6
Abbeville PD . . . . .	1983	12	2	2	1	31	42	122	4	3
	1982	12	1	1	7	61	48	100	12	1
Calhoun Falls PD . . . .	1983	12	0	0	0	24	28	34	1	0
	1982	12	0	0	1	23	18	22	2	1
Due West PD . . . . .	1983	6	0	0	0	2	5	7	1	0
	1982	5	0	0	0	0	2	8	0	0
Aiken County . . . . .	1983		16	34	77	653	1,750	2,183	227	64
	1982		11	45	69	707	1,630	2,238	238	74
Aiken SO . . . . .	1983	12	12	30	42	486	1,143	1,146	166	45
	1982	12	9	34	48	564	1,140	1,308	172	60
Aiken PD . . . . .	1983	12	2	1	16	90	385	632	42	14
	1982	12	1	3	8	61	191	509	44	5
North Augusta PD . . .	1983	12	2	2	16	60	183	363	14	4
	1982	12	0	7	11	55	233	384	16	8
Jackson PD . . . . .	1983	6	0	0	1	2	10	10	3	1
	1982	5	0	0	0	0	4	3	0	0
New Ellenton PD . . . .	1983	12	0	1	0	12	24	28	2	0
	1982	12	1	0	1	24	40	26	4	1
Wagener PD . . . . .	1983	9	0	0	2	3	5	4	0	0
	1982	11	0	1	1	3	14	8	2	0
Allendale County . . . .	1983		1	8	4	78	113	120	13	4
	1982		2	8	12	95	104	109	10	1
Allendale SO . . . . .	1983	12	0	3	3	6	30	21	3	3
	1982	12	0	5	0	9	14	17	4	0
Allendale PD . . . . .	1983	12	0	5	1	64	64	72	7	1
	1982	12	2	2	12	67	70	66	3	1
Fairfax PD . . . . .	1983	12	1	0	0	8	19	27	3	0
	1982	12	0	1	0	19	20	26	3	0
Anderson County . . . .	1983		23	33	85	538	1,785	3,793	327	106
	1982		21	39	74	565	1,932	4,058	421	100
Anderson SO . . . . .	1983	12	15	21	36	261	1,061	2,034	197	76
	1982	12	16	27	36	274	1,175	2,291	280	79
Anderson PD . . . . .	1983	12	8	12	44	214	542	1,486	115	21
	1982	12	5	9	33	205	583	1,432	117	20
Belton PD . . . . .	1983	12	0	0	1	45	80	97	7	8
	1982	12	0	3	1	52	96	115	10	0
Honea Path PD . . . . .	1983	12	0	0	1	6	26	72	4	1
	1982	12	0	0	3	12	29	90	2	0
Pendleton PD . . . . .	1983	12	0	0	2	6	26	31	2	0
	1982	12	0	0	0	10	25	41	2	0
Williamston PD . . . . .	1983	12	0	0	1	3	36	41	2	0
	1982	12	0	0	1	6	20	40	4	0
Iva PD . . . . .	1983	12	0	0	0	2	9	30	0	0
	1982	12	0	0	0	4	3	47	6	0



# 1983 INDEX TOTALS BY COUNTY AND AGENCY — Continued

	Year	Months of Participation	Murder	Rape	Robbery	Aggravated Assault	Breaking Or Entering	Larceny	Motor Vehicle Theft	Arson
West Pelzer PD .....	1983	6	0	0	0	0	5	2	0	0
	1982	5	0	0	0	2	1	2	0	0
Bamberg County .....	1983		1	1	3	25	106	112	20	2
	1982		0	1	16	32	130	160	14	2
Bamberg SO .....	1983	12	0	0	1	3	20	27	4	0
	1982	12	0	0	3	7	57	44	3	0
Bamberg PD .....	1983	12	1	1	1	20	47	64	10	0
	1982	12	0	1	7	19	29	76	7	2
Denmark PD .....	1983	12	0	0	1	2	39	21	6	2
	1982	12	0	0	6	6	44	40	4	0
Barnwell County .....	1983		3	4	4	50	101	152	19	4
	1982		0	4	6	87	128	179	14	3
Barnwell SO .....	1983	12	2	1	1	6	37	37	7	2
	1982	12	0	0	0	10	27	33	6	1
Barnwell PD .....	1983	12	1	1	2	25	19	44	4	0
	1982	12	0	3	4	35	45	64	6	1
Williston PD .....	1983	12	0	1	1	8	19	21	3	0
	1982	12	0	0	0	9	19	37	1	0
Blackville PD .....	1983	12	0	1	0	11	26	50	5	2
	1982	12	0	1	2	33	37	45	1	1
Beaufort County .....	1983		3	39	51	530	1,286	2,479	176	35
	1982		4	52	62	587	1,520	2,676	172	30
Beaufort SO .....	1983	12	3	37	38	375	955	1,733	136	24
	1982	12	4	45	40	380	1,078	1,898	143	18
Beaufort PD .....	1983	12	0	1	9	122	261	582	31	11
	1982	12	0	5	17	166	357	592	21	6
Bluffton PD .....	1983	12	0	0	0	1	4	5	0	0
	1982									
Port Royal PD .....	1983	12	0	1	4	32	66	159	9	0
	1982	12	0	2	5	41	85	186	8	6
Berkeley County .....	1983		7	24	67	322	1,084	1,917	196	65
	1982		14	26	55	325	1,338	1,925	229	59
Berkeley SO .....	1983	12	6	15	32	203	670	952	124	55
	1982	12	10	17	28	222	758	889	134	51
Moncks Corner PD ...	1983	12	1	1	4	20	70	230	12	1
	1982	12	2	0	6	10	64	224	17	0
Goose Creek PD .....	1983	12	0	1	10	43	93	353	15	5
	1982	12	0	4	8	35	157	353	15	2
St. Stephens PD .....	1983	12	0	2	6	20	42	41	4	0
	1982	12	1	0	2	9	30	74	7	2
Hanahan PD .....	1983	12	0	5	15	36	209	341	41	4
	1982	12	1	5	11	49	329	385	56	4
Calhoun County .....	1983		1	0	1	18	103	105	6	2
	1982		0	0	1	6	125	109	4	3
Calhoun SO .....	1983	12	1	0	1	13	85	84	4	2
	1982	12	0	0	1	5	110	88	3	3
St. Matthews PD .....	1983	12	0	0	0	5	18	21	2	0
	1982	10	0	0	0	1	15	21	1	0



# 1983 INDEX TOTALS BY COUNTY AND AGENCY — Continued

	Year	Months of Participation	Murder	Rape	Robbery	Aggravated Assault	Breaking Or Entering	Larceny	Motor Vehicle Theft	Arson
Charleston County ...	1983		29	182	836	1,736	5,173	11,268	1,241	144
	1982		36	189	1,040	2,033	5,778	12,116	1,310	107
Charleston County PD	1983	12	14	89	225	796	1,941	3,584	442	78
	1982	12	18	89	308	859	2,363	4,051	506	55
Charleston City PD ..	1983	12	10	43	322	538	1,371	4,215	325	33
	1982	12	12	38	337	602	1,498	4,134	327	15
Mount Pleasant PD ..	1983	12	0	5	14	28	310	575	58	5
	1982	12	1	2	23	42	231	639	63	7
Folly Beach PD .....	1983	12	0	1	0	12	53	139	8	6
	1982	12	0	1	0	21	82	157	10	0
Isle Of Palms PD ....	1983	12	0	0	0	2	25	57	7	1
	1982	12	0	0	0	5	22	76	24	0
Sullivans Island PD ..	1983	12	0	0	1	1	33	76	5	0
	1982	12	0	1	0	7	28	57	2	0
North Charleston PD ..	1983	12	5	44	274	359	1,440	2,622	396	21
	1982	12	5	58	372	497	1,554	3,002	378	30
Cherokee County .....	1983		5	9	17	140	521	714	96	23
	1982		9	16	36	163	510	893	126	26
Cherokee SO .....	1983	12	1	4	9	65	295	269	54	16
	1982	12	5	8	19	99	313	385	88	23
Blacksburg PD .....	1983	12	1	0	1	5	34	23	4	0
	1982	12	0	1	0	1	10	26	3	0
Gaffney PD .....	1983	12	3	5	7	70	192	422	38	7
	1982	12	4	7	17	61	187	482	35	3
Chester County .....	1983		3	14	13	132	468	535	38	14
	1982		3	6	19	150	500	601	27	10
Chester SO .....	1983	12	3	12	7	90	263	294	30	12
	1982	12	3	6	10	100	322	345	20	8
Chester PD .....	1983	12	0	1	6	25	168	178	7	2
	1982	12	0	0	6	29	135	190	6	0
Great Falls PD .....	1983	12	0	1	0	17	34	61	1	0
	1982	12	0	0	3	21	43	66	1	2
Fort Lawn PD .....	1983	3	0	0	0	0	3	2	0	0
	1982									
Chesterfield County ..	1983		1	6	23	125	361	458	29	15
	1982		3	5	12	116	433	545	38	10
Chesterfield SO .....	1983	12	1	6	12	73	216	176	20	14
	1982	12	1	2	5	55	274	198	19	9
Cheraw PD .....	1983	12	0	0	10	26	79	177	3	1
	1982	12	2	1	7	32	103	231	14	0
Chesterfield PD .....	1983	12	0	0	0	6	29	26	0	0
	1982	12	0	2	0	4	15	32	1	0
Jefferson PD .....	1983	12	0	0	0	0	7	10	0	0
	1982	7	0	0	0	2	5	7	0	0
McBee PD .....	1983	12	0	0	0	0	1	6	0	0
	1982	8	0	0	0	0	4	3	0	0
Pageland PD .....	1983	12	0	0	1	17	26	58	6	0
	1982	11	0	0	0	18	26	73	4	1
Patrick PD .....	1983	10	0	0	0	2	3	5	0	0
	1982	11	0	0	0	5	6	1	0	0



# 1983 INDEX TOTALS BY COUNTY AND AGENCY — Continued

	Year	Months of Participation	Murder	Rape	Robbery	Aggravated Assault	Breaking Or Entering	Larceny	Motor Vehicle Theft	Arson
Clarendon County ...	1983		3	6	8	76	237	423	23	15
	1982		4	3	13	76	348	530	35	5
Clarendon SO .....	1983	12	3	6	6	68	196	288	17	12
	1982	12	4	3	8	68	277	334	28	4
Manning PD .....	1983	12	0	0	1	4	24	107	5	3
	1982	12	0	0	4	7	50	165	5	1
Summerton PD .....	1983	10	0	0	1	4	14	23	1	0
	1982	11	0	0	1	1	18	31	2	0
Turbeville PD .....	1983	3	0	0	0	0	3	5	0	0
	1982	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
Colleton County .....	1983		5	9	18	99	319	599	56	9
	1982		2	8	18	148	293	652	69	14
Colleton SO .....	1983	12	4	3	12	44	208	296	30	7
	1982	12	1	6	10	97	214	351	44	12
Walterboro PD .....	1983	12	1	6	6	55	111	303	26	2
	1982	12	1	2	8	51	79	301	25	2
Darlington County ...	1983		11	36	69	423	1,022	1,551	190	27
	1982		11	35	82	442	1,157	1,691	215	44
Darlington SO .....	1983	12	7	26	22	127	457	564	115	22
	1982	12	7	26	37	150	584	656	135	26
Darlington PD .....	1983	12	0	5	22	142	208	391	31	3
	1982	12	3	5	17	133	298	431	34	12
Hartsville PD .....	1983	12	4	4	25	153	349	586	41	2
	1982	12	1	3	28	156	264	596	44	6
Lamar PD .....	1983	8	0	0	0	0	4	4	2	0
	1982	11	0	1	0	3	3	4	2	0
Society Hill PD .....	1983	4	0	1	0	1	4	6	1	0
	1982	6	0	0	0	0	8	4	0	0
Dillon County .....	1983		1	5	15	84	332	418	56	17
	1982		4	5	15	133	397	512	84	9
Dillon SO .....	1983	12	1	2	7	30	161	180	39	12
	1982	12	3	3	8	66	197	187	51	4
Dillon PD .....	1983	12	0	1	5	40	118	181	12	5
	1982	12	1	2	5	46	133	238	27	5
Lakeview PD .....	1983	12	0	0	1	2	6	3	1	0
	1982	12	0	0	0	3	13	7	3	0
Latta PD .....	1983	12	0	2	2	12	47	54	4	0
	1982	12	0	0	2	16	54	80	3	0
Dorchester County ...	1983		4	9	39	180	802	1,207	120	19
	1982		5	14	30	190	685	1,230	149	10
Dorchester SO .....	1983	12	4	6	21	119	635	786	85	11
	1982	12	5	9	20	150	511	839	109	7
St. George PD .....	1983	10	0	0	0	2	33	50	4	1
	1982	12	0	0	0	4	36	55	5	1
Summerville PD .....	1983	12	0	3	18	59	134	371	31	7
	1982	12	0	5	10	36	138	336	35	2
Edgefield County ...	1983		2	4	7	86	169	199	14	7
	1982		3	4	10	130	184	183	28	10



# 1983 INDEX TOTALS BY COUNTY AND AGENCY — Continued

	Year	Months of Participation	Murder	Rape	Robbery	Aggravated Assault	Breaking Or Entering	Larceny	Motor Vehicle Theft	Arson
Edgefield SO .....	1983	12	0	1	5	49	119	114	8	6
	1982	12	3	2	3	73	119	116	21	8
Edgefield PD .....	1983	12	0	1	2	16	26	47	2	0
	1982	12	0	1	4	35	35	38	1	1
Johnston PD .....	1983	12	2	2	0	21	22	34	3	1
	1982	12	0	1	3	22	30	29	6	1
Trenton PD .....	1983	12	0	0	0	0	2	4	1	0
	1982									
Fairfield County .....	1983		0	2	14	135	244	310	23	4
	1982		4	4	5	121	218	346	16	2
Fairfield SO .....	1983	12	0	2	9	81	203	192	20	3
	1982	12	3	4	3	85	182	188	13	2
Winnsboro PD .....	1983	12	0	0	2	53	39	112	3	1
	1982	12	1	0	2	36	36	158	3	0
Ridgeway PD .....	1983	12	0	0	0	1	2	6	0	0
	1982	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Florence County .....	1983		10	58	202	552	1,638	3,537	314	52
	1982		8	48	194	673	1,964	3,803	352	50
Florence SO .....	1983	12	3	32	59	212	802	1,146	147	30
	1982	12	5	27	66	239	838	1,204	160	36
Florence PD .....	1983	12	7	21	113	248	622	1,988	148	19
	1982	12	3	19	115	339	876	2,101	170	11
Lake City PD .....	1983	12	0	5	26	71	148	317	16	3
	1982	12	0	2	10	72	155	397	16	1
Johnsonville PD .....	1983	12	0	0	0	2	13	33	0	0
	1982	12	0	0	0	4	22	35	1	0
Timmons ville PD ....	1983	12	0	0	4	18	53	53	3	0
	1982	12	0	0	3	19	73	66	5	2
Georgetown County ..	1983		7	12	28	200	603	960	105	11
	1982		2	7	34	224	567	982	86	11
Georgetown SO .....	1983	12	6	3	3	51	220	156	20	3
	1982	12	2	5	4	18	175	141	11	1
Andrews PD .....	1983	12	1	1	4	30	75	80	16	0
	1982	12	0	2	4	31	73	109	21	2
Georgetown PD .....	1983	12	0	8	21	119	308	724	69	8
	1982	12	0	0	26	175	319	732	54	8
Greenville County ...	1983		29	117	381	1,636	4,431	9,769	927	174
	1982		18	140	376	1,860	4,790	10,675	983	153
Greenville SO .....	1983	12	16	70	184	868	2,782	4,831	563	123
	1982	12	11	92	176	1,006	3,080	5,252	611	105
Fountain Inn PD ....	1983	12	1	2	3	34	72	118	10	1
	1982	12	0	1	1	48	111	196	5	1
Greenville PD .....	1983	12	11	36	170	570	1,196	3,644	277	41
	1982	12	6	42	165	649	1,130	3,835	275	34
Greer PD .....	1983	12	0	6	19	100	180	588	33	6
	1982	12	1	2	23	89	204	722	52	7
Mauldin PD .....	1983	12	0	0	0	8	50	200	8	1
	1982	12	0	1	4	20	84	199	14	1



# 1983 INDEX TOTALS BY COUNTY AND AGENCY — Continued

	Year	Months of Participation	Murder	Rape	Robbery	Aggravated Assault	Breaking Or Entering	Larceny	Motor Vehicle Theft	Arson
Simpsonville PD . . . .	1983	12	0	0	4	23	87	248	16	1
	1982	12	0	1	2	18	100	272	18	4
Travelers Rest PD . . .	1983	12	0	1	0	14	27	106	13	1
	1982	12	0	0	3	5	21	84	4	0
City View PD . . . . .	1983	12	1	2	1	19	37	34	7	0
	1982	12	0	1	2	24	60	115	4	1
Greenwood County . .	1983		4	21	50	490	521	1,552	113	19
	1982		6	19	37	514	621	1,632	102	21
Greenwood SO . . . . .	1983	12	2	13	19	187	253	653	58	11
	1982	12	1	7	13	205	293	703	53	12
Greenwood PD . . . . .	1983	12	2	8	30	289	241	826	47	7
	1982	12	5	12	24	297	298	848	41	8
Ware Shoals PD . . . .	1983	12	0	0	0	12	20	42	7	1
	1982	12	0	0	0	5	23	50	3	1
Ninety Six PD . . . . .	1983	12	0	0	1	2	7	31	1	0
	1982	12	0	0	0	7	7	31	5	0
Hampton County . . . .	1983		3	3	2	29	88	83	5	2
	1982		1	0	5	20	81	80	3	1
Hampton SO . . . . .	1983	12	3	2	1	13	18	10	1	0
	1982	12	1	0	4	15	35	15	2	1
Estill PD . . . . .	1983	12	0	0	0	6	22	16	0	1
	1982	9	0	0	0	3	16	11	0	0
Hampton PD . . . . .	1983	12	0	1	1	3	35	45	4	1
	1982	12	0	0	1	0	19	43	1	0
Varnville PD . . . . .	1983	12	0	0	0	6	8	8	0	0
	1982	6	0	0	0	2	11	11	0	0
Yemassee PD . . . . .	1983	11	0	0	0	1	5	4	0	0
	1982	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Horry County . . . . .	1983		12	42	85	469	2,103	4,606	463	44
	1982		16	42	130	555	2,572	4,617	545	50
Horry County PD . . .	1983	12	7	19	23	266	741	1,402	223	29
	1982	12	13	22	51	322	956	1,422	200	36
Atlantic Beach PD . . .	1983	9	0	1	1	0	3	2	1	2
	1982	8	0	1	0	6	3	4	1	0
Conway PD . . . . .	1983	12	2	3	12	104	178	480	26	6
	1982	12	0	8	12	133	219	543	44	5
Aynor PD . . . . .	1983	12	0	0	0	0	5	8	0	0
	1982	8	0	0	0	0	4	7	5	0
Loris PD . . . . .	1983	12	0	0	2	31	26	85	13	0
	1982	12	0	0	1	19	32	111	10	3
Myrtle Beach PD . . . .	1983	12	2	17	36	47	857	1,930	147	2
	1982	12	2	11	58	53	944	1,843	208	3
N. Myrtle Beach PD .	1983	12	1	2	10	19	222	626	51	5
	1982	12	1	0	3	19	337	591	70	2
Surfside Beach PD . . .	1983	12	0	0	1	2	71	73	2	0
	1982	12	0	0	5	3	77	96	7	1
Jasper County . . . . .	1983		0	2	6	37	189	239	36	8
	1982		1	5	7	41	236	251	29	6



# 1983 INDEX TOTALS BY COUNTY AND AGENCY — Continued

	Year	Months of Participation	Murder	Rape	Robbery	Aggravated Assault	Breaking Or Entering	Larceny	Motor Vehicle Theft	Arson
Jasper SO .....	1983	12	0	2	4	24	126	99	21	7
	1982	12	1	3	4	29	140	107	18	5
Hardeeville PD .....	1983	12	0	0	1	10	52	113	12	0
	1982	12	0	2	3	12	58	117	8	0
Ridgeland PD .....	1983	12	0	0	1	3	11	27	3	1
	1982	12	0	0	0	0	38	27	3	1
Kershaw County .....	1983		0	12	13	116	390	771	39	10
	1982		3	12	17	115	443	793	49	9
Kershaw SO .....	1983	12	0	10	8	74	287	418	31	10
	1982	12	2	10	11	70	309	446	42	8
Camden PD .....	1983	12	0	2	5	41	89	351	8	0
	1982	12	1	2	6	42	126	339	7	1
Bethune PD .....	1983	12	0	0	0	1	3	1	0	0
	1982	12	0	0	0	0	2	8	0	0
Elgin PD .....	1983	12	0	0	0	0	11	1	0	0
	1982	11	0	0	0	2	6	0	0	0
Lancaster County .....	1983		7	17	23	301	596	1,173	83	28
	1982		7	15	27	391	726	1,149	96	28
Lancaster SO .....	1983	12	1	13	11	138	397	637	53	17
	1982	12	4	10	9	183	502	586	64	17
Lancaster PD .....	1983	12	4	4	12	157	169	501	25	10
	1982	12	2	5	16	200	179	529	27	10
Heath Springs PD .....	1983	10	2	0	0	1	4	5	0	1
	1982	12	0	0	1	1	5	6	1	0
Kershaw PD .....	1983	12	0	0	0	5	26	30	5	0
	1982	12	1	0	1	7	40	28	4	1
Laurens County .....	1983		9	6	8	45	364	489	27	5
	1982		6	3	13	70	403	483	36	4
Laurens SO .....	1983	12	6	2	1	20	156	115	8	0
	1982	12	4	2	4	42	201	114	11	2
Laurens PD .....	1983	12	1	2	6	12	155	299	12	2
	1982	12	1	1	6	14	163	269	14	0
Clinton PD .....	1983	12	2	2	1	13	53	74	7	3
	1982	12	1	0	3	14	34	98	11	2
Gray Court PD .....	1983	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	1982	3	0	0	0	0	5	2	0	0
Lee County .....	1983		0	1	3	29	100	135	6	0
	1982		1	4	7	35	115	176	8	2
Lee SO .....	1983	12	0	0	0	8	61	41	2	0
	1982	12	1	3	3	15	81	64	3	2
Bishopville PD .....	1983	12	0	1	3	21	39	94	4	0
	1982	12	0	1	4	20	34	112	5	0
Lexington County .....	1983		11	41	76	508	1,542	3,346	337	17
	1982		10	43	95	694	1,959	4,234	422	31
Lexington SO .....	1983	12	7	31	34	332	1,073	1,944	221	13
	1982	12	6	32	47	458	1,289	2,523	276	18
Batesburg PD .....	1983	12	1	0	2	17	35	134	6	1
	1982	12	2	0	5	33	75	161	9	0



# 1983 INDEX TOTALS BY COUNTY AND AGENCY — Continued

	Year	Months of Participation	Murder	Rape	Robbery	Aggravated Assault	Breaking Or Entering	Larceny	Motor Vehicle Theft	Arson
Cayce PD .....	1983	12	0	1	11	48	154	390	31	2
	1982	12	1	2	16	70	221	546	56	2
Leesville PD .....	1983	12	0	0	3	11	6	44	5	0
	1982	12	0	0	0	13	22	43	1	1
Lexington PD .....	1983	12	0	0	1	1	12	24	5	0
	1982	12	1	0	1	5	10	28	2	0
West Columbia PD ..	1983	12	3	7	24	80	195	609	50	1
	1982	12	0	7	24	83	279	702	62	3
Irmo PD .....	1983	12	0	0	0	7	14	58	6	0
	1982	12	0	0	2	7	20	40	3	2
South Congaree PD ..	1983	12	0	0	0	2	11	25	3	0
	1982	12	0	2	0	4	6	29	4	0
Springdale PD .....	1983	12	0	2	1	5	25	62	6	0
	1982	12	0	0	0	10	21	110	5	5
Swansea PD .....	1983	12	0	0	0	5	11	28	0	0
	1982	12	0	0	0	10	12	21	0	0
Columbia Airport ....	1983	12	0	0	0	0	0	26	3	0
	1982	12	0	0	0	0	1	27	4	0
Gaston PD .....	1983	12	0	0	0	0	6	2	1	0
	1982	12	0	0	0	1	3	4	0	0
McCormick County ..	1983		1	1	3	31	80	55	3	2
	1982		1	3	5	49	101	92	5	5
McCormick SO .....	1983	12	1	1	2	19	69	41	2	2
	1982	12	1	2	2	34	69	69	2	5
McCormick PD .....	1983	12	0	0	1	12	11	14	1	0
	1982	12	0	1	3	15	32	23	3	0
Marion County .....	1983		3	5	15	127	310	602	70	11
	1982		6	8	16	134	344	579	70	8
Marion SO .....	1983	12	2	2	2	20	96	120	32	4
	1982	12	4	2	1	25	143	119	27	3
Marion PD .....	1983	12	0	3	6	84	148	334	24	5
	1982	12	0	6	14	99	160	341	34	2
Mullins PD .....	1983	12	1	0	7	23	66	148	14	2
	1982	12	2	0	1	10	41	119	9	3
Marlboro County ....	1983		5	13	19	160	336	568	41	11
	1982		4	17	15	198	465	775	37	24
Marlboro SO .....	1983	12	4	8	6	47	126	155	19	6
	1982	12	3	11	7	70	191	263	19	13
Bennettsville PD ....	1983	12	1	4	13	109	175	356	18	4
	1982	12	1	4	7	110	209	427	15	5
McColl PD .....	1983	12	0	1	0	4	35	57	4	1
	1982	10	0	2	1	18	65	85	3	6
Newberry County ...	1983		3	12	18	210	200	525	22	5
	1982		2	6	6	249	280	519	21	9
Newberry SO .....	1983	12	3	6	10	111	136	264	12	0
	1982	12	2	5	3	150	183	256	13	4
Newberry PD .....	1983	12	0	5	8	87	62	248	10	3
	1982	12	0	1	3	89	87	252	6	4



# 1983 INDEX TOTALS BY COUNTY AND AGENCY — Continued

	Year	Months of Participation	Murder	Rape	Robbery	Aggravated Assault	Breaking Or Entering	Larceny	Motor Vehicle Theft	Arson
Whitmire PD .....	1983	12	0	1	0	1	1	6	0	0
	1982	12	0	0	0	4	4	5	2	0
Prosperity PD .....	1983	12	0	0	0	11	1	7	0	2
	1982	12	0	0	0	6	6	6	0	1
Oconee County .....	1983		4	5	5	99	343	629	50	7
	1982		9	4	10	116	464	617	63	20
Oconee SO .....	1983	12	1	4	2	72	240	463	29	6
	1982	12	4	2	9	74	318	455	38	15
Seneca PD .....	1983	12	1	0	3	19	45	59	11	1
	1982	12	1	2	0	31	83	80	12	3
Walhalla PD .....	1983	12	1	1	0	8	45	98	5	0
	1982	12	1	0	0	6	46	63	8	0
Westminster PD .....	1983	12	1	0	0	0	10	6	6	0
	1982	12	3	0	0	4	15	17	5	2
West Union PD .....	1983	3	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0
	1982	6	0	0	1	1	2	2	0	0
Salem PD .....	1983	4	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
	1982									
Orangeburg County ..	1983		9	32	88	432	1,145	2,040	169	32
	1982		13	32	68	554	1,065	1,934	135	25
Orangeburg SO .....	1983	12	9	22	45	311	655	932	96	25
	1982	12	8	26	32	365	628	843	84	19
Orangeburg PD .....	1983	12	0	8	39	106	412	1,028	67	7
	1982	12	4	6	34	175	371	1,036	48	5
Branchville PD .....	1983	12	0	0	1	3	13	18	0	0
	1982	12	0	0	0	3	21	15	0	0
Elloree PD .....	1983	10	0	0	0	0	5	3	0	0
	1982	5	0	0	0	1	5	8	0	0
Eutawville PD .....	1983	11	0	0	0	1	8	5	1	0
	1982	12	0	0	0	0	4	7	0	1
Holly Hill PD .....	1983	12	0	2	3	11	37	50	4	0
	1982	11	1	0	1	9	21	18	2	0
Norway PD .....	1983									
	1982	4	0	0	1	0	3	2	1	0
Santee PD .....	1983	10	0	0	0	0	15	4	1	0
	1982	7	0	0	0	0	12	5	0	0
Pickens County .....	1983		8	9	26	191	580	1,694	121	22
	1982		9	14	16	279	671	1,766	112	15
Pickens SO .....	1983	12	6	8	9	72	322	421	50	15
	1982	12	5	8	3	116	319	488	42	6
Central PD .....	1983	12	0	0	0	6	26	40	0	0
	1982	12	0	1	0	3	16	45	0	0
Clemson PD .....	1983	12	0	1	4	23	84	285	16	0
	1982	12	1	2	5	21	89	311	16	2
Easley PD .....	1983	12	2	0	8	57	109	445	30	5
	1982	12	2	2	5	104	163	472	34	5
Liberty PD .....	1983	12	0	0	2	11	5	30	0	0
	1982	12	1	0	2	9	3	21	1	0
Pickens PD .....	1983	12	0	0	2	10	9	40	7	0
	1982	12	0	0	0	12	32	74	6	0



# 1983 INDEX TOTALS BY COUNTY AND AGENCY — Continued

	Year	Months of Participation	Murder	Rape	Robbery	Aggravated Assault	Breaking Or Entering	Larceny	Motor Vehicle Theft	Arson
Clemson Univ. PD ...	1983	12	0	0	1	12	23	431	18	2
	1982	12	0	1	1	14	49	355	13	2
Norris PD .....	1983	10	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0
	1982									
Richland County ....	1983		28	152	613	1,914	4,163	10,670	1,048	87
	1982		39	192	812	1,984	4,951	11,512	1,349	106
Richland SO .....	1983	12	11	93	191	1,035	1,830	3,293	573	52
	1982	12	18	96	211	1,076	1,916	3,180	663	47
Columbia PD .....	1983	12	17	59	408	854	2,228	6,320	437	34
	1982	12	21	91	586	887	2,787	7,245	617	56
Forest Acres PD .....	1983	12	0	0	6	15	52	317	24	0
	1982	12	0	3	11	13	98	374	17	3
Univ. Of S. C. PD ...	1983	12	0	0	8	10	53	740	14	1
	1982	12	0	2	4	8	150	713	52	0
Saluda County .....	1983		3	5	4	63	105	120	8	0
	1982		2	5	9	62	150	130	7	7
Saluda SO .....	1983	12	3	5	1	32	85	55	3	0
	1982	12	2	4	3	23	97	65	4	7
Saluda PD .....	1983	12	0	0	3	25	13	47	4	0
	1982	12	0	1	4	34	33	48	3	0
Ridge Springs PD ....	1983	12	0	0	0	6	7	18	1	0
	1982	12	0	0	2	5	20	17	0	0
Spartanburg County ..	1983		13	71	186	726	2,690	6,452	498	92
	1982		18	88	203	943	3,166	7,259	601	80
Spartanburg SO .....	1983	12	11	43	82	466	1,551	3,370	307	76
	1982	12	10	48	86	609	1,858	3,897	387	55
Spartanburg PD .....	1983	12	1	27	101	240	1,030	2,882	170	14
	1982	12	7	38	106	295	1,189	3,011	189	22
Woodruff PD .....	1983	12	0	0	0	9	29	106	10	0
	1982	12	0	1	2	24	28	128	5	2
Chesnee PD .....	1983	12	0	0	0	0	10	4	1	0
	1982	12	0	0	1	1	5	22	3	0
Cowpens PD .....	1983	12	0	1	0	3	20	42	6	0
	1982	12	0	0	1	4	27	72	9	0
Inman PD .....	1983	12	0	0	0	3	21	46	1	1
	1982	12	0	1	3	5	22	43	4	0
Landrum PD .....	1983	12	1	0	1	3	14	34	3	0
	1982	12	1	0	2	2	11	47	1	0
Lyman PD .....	1983	12	0	0	2	1	4	16	0	1
	1982	11	0	0	0	0	12	21	3	0
Pacolet PD .....	1983	8	0	0	0	0	5	9	0	0
	1982	12	0	0	2	3	12	17	0	1
Wellford .....	1983	10	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
	1982	12	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0
Sumter County .....	1983		13	32	84	437	1,090	1,860	136	19
	1982		8	23	79	392	1,419	2,108	179	23
Sumter SO .....	1983	12	7	18	34	279	686	806	83	16
	1982	12	6	13	27	247	812	809	102	18



# 1983 INDEX TOTALS BY COUNTY AND AGENCY — Continued

	Year	Months of Participation	Murder	Rape	Robbery	Aggravated Assault	Breaking Or Entering	Larceny	Motor Vehicle Theft	Arson
Sumter PD .....	1983	12	6	14	50	157	404	1,054	53	3
	1982	12	2	10	52	144	607	1,299	77	5
Union County .....	1983		3	5	10	88	295	467	35	6
	1982		7	4	21	99	357	602	51	11
Union SO .....	1983	12	1	2	4	50	154	177	18	4
	1982	12	5	3	10	51	188	226	24	5
Union PD .....	1983	12	2	2	6	37	110	271	13	2
	1982	12	2	1	11	42	145	333	22	6
Carlisle PD .....	1983	3	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
	1982									
Jonesville PD .....	1983	12	0	1	0	1	29	19	4	0
	1982	12	0	0	0	6	24	43	5	0
Williamsburg County .	1983		3	2	13	92	302	310	23	7
	1982		2	7	22	86	245	282	19	5
Williamsburg SO ....	1983	12	2	2	8	52	176	155	8	4
	1982	12	2	7	14	59	102	119	8	5
Hemingway PD .....	1983	12	0	0	0	2	17	20	2	0
	1982	12	0	0	1	0	5	17	4	0
Kingstree PD .....	1983	12	1	0	5	38	109	135	13	3
	1982	12	0	0	7	26	138	146	7	0
York County .....	1983		11	38	102	696	1,807	3,772	245	40
	1982		11	35	113	970	1,913	3,861	307	45
York SO .....	1983	12	8	16	29	235	832	1,255	126	28
	1982	12	10	15	32	336	953	1,268	142	28
Clover PD .....	1983	12	0	1	2	29	54	119	12	2
	1982	12	1	0	3	33	48	144	13	6
Fort Mill PD .....	1983	12	0	1	6	17	38	132	7	0
	1982	12	0	0	2	30	39	141	4	0
Rock Hill PD .....	1983	12	2	11	59	316	751	1,967	88	9
	1982	12	0	16	66	479	778	2,019	135	5
York PD .....	1983	12	1	9	6	96	107	266	10	1
	1982	12	0	4	10	92	95	289	13	6
Tega Cay PD .....	1983	2	0	0	0	3	25	33	2	0
	1982									
State Totals .....	1983		321	1,143	3,414	15,203	42,166	85,251	7,808	1,295
	1982		348	1,244	3,922	17,547	47,675	91,929	8,890	1,276



1983  
**AGENCIES COVERED BY  
COUNTY LAW ENFORCEMENT CRIME REPORTS\***

Bluffton (5)	Coward (12)	Hodges (12)	Pamplico (12)	Scranton (12)
Bonneau (12)	Cross Hill (12)	Jamestown (12)	Pelion (12)	Sellers (12)
Bowman (12)	Donalds (12)	Lincolnvill (12)	Pelzer (12)	Silverstreet (12)
Brunson (12)	Eastover (12)	Little Mountain (12)	Piedmont (12)	Springfield (12)
Burnettown (12)	Ehrhardt (12)	Lynchburg (12)	Pineridge (12)	Starr (12)
Cameron (12)	Enoree (12)	Mayesville (12)	Pinewood (12)	Stuckey (12)
Campobello (12)	Fort Lawn (9)	Nichols (12)	Quinby (12)	Tega Cay (5)
Chapin (12)	Gifford (12)	Norway (12)	Ravenel (12)	Turbeville (9)
Chappells (12)	Graniteville (12)	North (12)	Ridgeville (12)	Warrenville 12)
Clio (12)	Greeleyville (12)	Olanta (12)	Salem (8)	West Pelzer (6)
Cordova (12)	Harleyville (12)	Olar (12)	Salley (12)	West Union (9)

\* The numbers in parentheses indicate the number of months these agencies had their crime reported by a county law enforcement agency. Many of the agencies so noted submitted their crime reports independently for a portion of the year.

**AGENCIES PARTICIPATING FOR FEWER THAN SIX MONTHS**

Carlisle PD (3 months)      Duncan PD (0 months)